

10 Biggest Mistakes Planners Don't Realize Their Clients are Making

2025

Financial Experts Network Presentation

Scott J. Witt, FSA, MAAA
Witt Actuarial Services, LLC



Confusion and Complexity

- **Complexity** and **confusion** are two of the best things that the insurance industry has going for it
 - Consumers have no idea what they are purchasing or what they have
 - Consumers can't tell a good product from a bad product
 - Consumers can't recognize and/or quantify the inherent conflicts of interest
- Goal today: shed some light on that complexity and confusion so you can lead your clients to better insurance decisions



My goal is to clarify the confusion

- Actuary – not an agent
- Fee-only insurance advisor working with client and their advisors
- Typical assignments
 - Evaluation of new/existing coverage or designing coverage
 - Problem solving
 - Mistake avoidance
 - Peace of mind
- Sign up for newsletter here:
www.wittactuarialservices.com



10 Biggest Mistakes

- Not seeing the risk of lapse
- Believing illustration is the product
- Not recognizing true drivers of non-guaranteed policy performance
- Paying full commissions when cheaper alternatives available
- Not realizing that it is possible to buy certain cash value policies that are good investments



10 Biggest Mistakes (cont.)

- Failing to manage in-force policies
- Failing to recognize that mismanaged variable life policies can expire worthless
- Purchasing a “guaranteed” policy (Guaranteed UL) that exposes the policyholder to total loss
- Buying wrong type of annuity
- Not recognizing the illusion of “peace of mind” provided by most long-term care policies



Mistake #1: Lapse risk

- Buying a permanent life insurance policy that won't be held until death.
- Not realizing the true probability that the policy will lapse



A Harsh View on Lapses

- A lapse usually means a mistake was made at issue
 - Couldn't afford it; didn't need it; or didn't understand it
 - Policy poorly designed; poorly priced; or from poor company
- Insurers assume that only 20-40% of permanent policies remain after 20 years
- Holding until death is critical to realize primary advantage of permanent insurance



Lapse risk: SVUL example

VUL Illustration

<u>Policy Year</u>	<u>EOY Age</u>	<u>Premium</u>	<u>Gross Return</u>	<u>Cash Value</u>	<u>Death Benefit</u>
15	68	44,243	6.0%	413,376	15,000,000
16	69	44,243	6.0%	450,120	15,000,000
17	70	44,243	6.0%	483,969	15,000,000
18	71	44,243	6.0%	513,955	15,000,000
19	72	44,243	6.0%	539,125	15,000,000
20	73	44,243	6.0%	558,372	15,000,000
21	74	44,243	6.0%	569,431	15,000,000
22	75	44,243	6.0%	570,398	15,000,000
23	76	44,243	6.0%	558,890	15,000,000
24	77	44,243	6.0%	532,027	15,000,000
25	78	44,243	6.0%	487,416	15,000,000
26	79	44,243	6.0%	421,834	15,000,000
27	80	44,243	6.0%	330,413	15,000,000
28	81	44,243	6.0%	208,345	15,000,000
29	82	44,243	6.0%	49,169	15,000,000
30	83				



Optimizing Permanent Insurance

- Get it right at issue
- Ongoing service
 - Will agent that sold you policy still be around?
 - Very low agent persistency
 - 20% retention after 5 years viewed as excellent
 - Even best agents may not be around for life of policy
 - Little or no financial incentive for optimal service
 - Especially orphan situations
 - Interests of company (agent) often opposed to interests of policyholder



Mistake #2

- Believing the illustration is the product
 - Unfortunately consumers – often under agent's direction – simply compare illustrations to make decisions
 - Illustration games run rampant
 - Interest rate bonuses
 - Unrealistic mortality charges
 - Unsustainable crediting rates
 - Never forget that the primary purpose of the illustration is to try to convince you to buy the policy!
 - Be especially wary of aggressive indexed UL policies



Mistake #3

- Not recognizing true drivers of non-guaranteed policy performance
 - Investment experience / philosophy
 - Mortality experience
 - Expenses
 - Persistency (and impact on above factors)
 - Company structure / philosophy
- Long-term performance will depend on the above – not on how attractive the original sales illustration was/is
- Difference between average and great? $PV > 3$ premiums!
 - All products are not created equal – even within the same company!



Mistake #4

- Paying full commissions when cheaper alternatives available, often within same company
 - Through product design, commissions can be reduced by up to 85% or in some instances eliminated entirely
 - Instead of a first-year cash value of \$0, may be 80-90% of first-year premium
 - Long-term savings even greater because boosting early cash values lowers future mortality charges
 - PV savings usually 1-1.5x annual premium
 - For annuities, higher cap rates / payout rates



Mistake #5

- Falsely believing that cash value life insurance policies are good investments . . .

but also . . .

- Falsely believing they are not!
- Most policies are NOT good investments, but it is possible to design a policy that is



Life Insurance as Investment

- Prerequisites:
 - Maxed out on juiciest qualified savings vehicles (401k match, HSA, Roth)
 - Desire to pass wealth on to heirs
 - Insurability
 - High tax bracket
- With above,
 - Non-variable policy can add 100-150 bps of after-tax return relative to bonds
 - Variable policy can add 100-150 bps of after-tax return relative to same equities held outside (may disappear in buy and hold scenario with step-up at death)



Life Insurance as Investment

- What you need to get right
 - Company choice (for non-variable especially)
 - Product design
 - Maximize long-term accumulation
 - Minimize (or eliminate) agent compensation
 - Funding strategy
 - Understanding of distribution phase (if any)
- Realistically – almost no chance of optimizing value without help from an expert looking out solely for you



Mistake #6

- Failing to manage inforce policies optimally
 - For most insurance policies (almost all but UL), you should pay annually if you have cash flow to do so – avoids unreasonably high modal premium charges
 - Be careful with policy loans on cash value life insurance – more costly than agents/companies would have you believe
 - If insured has had a significant downturn in health, then you may be able to exploit that by managing policy differently
 - Before you replace or sell a policy, make sure you have put its best foot forward



No Common Ground

- Agents and insurance companies have no financial incentive to provide optimal advice due to compensation structure
- In fact, the financial interest of the company is often exactly opposed to the financial interest of the policyholder



Mistake #7

- Failing to recognize that mismanaged variable life policies can expire worthless
 - Even if originally scheduled premiums paid, AND
 - Even if “average” returns have matched level shown on original sales illustration
- If you have a variable policy, then do yourself a favor and get an in-force illustration
 - Should really get illustration on all permanent policies annually



SVUL illustration example

VUL Illustration

<u>Policy Year</u>	<u>EOY Age</u>	<u>Premium</u>	<u>Gross Return</u>	<u>Cash Value</u>	<u>Death Benefit</u>
15	68	44,243	6.0%	413,376	15,000,000
16	69	44,243	6.0%	450,120	15,000,000
17	70	44,243	6.0%	483,969	15,000,000
18	71	44,243	6.0%	513,955	15,000,000
19	72	44,243	6.0%	539,125	15,000,000
20	73	44,243	6.0%	558,372	15,000,000
21	74	44,243	6.0%	569,431	15,000,000
22	75	44,243	6.0%	570,398	15,000,000
23	76	44,243	6.0%	558,890	15,000,000
24	77	44,243	6.0%	532,027	15,000,000
25	78	44,243	6.0%	487,416	15,000,000
26	79	44,243	6.0%	421,834	15,000,000
27	80	44,243	6.0%	330,413	15,000,000
28	81	44,243	6.0%	208,345	15,000,000
29	82	44,243	6.0%	49,169	15,000,000
30	83				



Remedial Actions

- To extend life of policy to age 100 (which itself carries >10% lapse risk) . . .
 - Increase premium from \$44,243 to \$184,000
 - Increase premium from \$44,243 to \$142,000 AND increase gross return from 6% to 8%
 - Lower death benefit from \$15 million to \$8 million, increase premium from \$44,243 to \$59,000, AND increase gross return from 6% to 8%
- To manage toward eliminating lapse risk (cash value equals death benefit of \$15 million at age 100) . . .
 - Increase premium from \$44,243 to \$144,000 AND increase gross return from 6% to 10%
 - Adjust annually as needed to stay on pace for “endowment”



Mistake #8

- Purchasing a “guaranteed” policy (Guaranteed UL) that exposes the policyholder to the possibility of losing everything
- Make sure you have the ability to guarantee coverage for life



Mistake #9

- Pursuing wrong type of annuity
 - Deferred annuities are oversold
 - Immediate annuities are undersold



Deferred Annuities

- Hard to justify “vanilla” annuities inside qualified accounts
 - Most popular – living benefit riders
 - Vary greatly and can be expensive
 - Might do better with combination of other products (but more complicated)
- Tax deferral for NQ – but ordinary income
 - Not great logically for equity exposure
- Not a great asset to hold at death



Immediate Annuities

- These are fantastic conceptually
 - Would be even better if true inflation-adjusted policies were developed
- Haven't gained much traction
 - Consumers can't stop viewing this as an investment instead of insurance
 - Protect against outliving your money
- Many consumers would benefit from a guaranteed income stream (Die with Zero)
- Consider laddering purchases
- Some good no-load options available now



Longevity Insurance

- With these products, money is deposited now but lifetime income stream doesn't kick in until some advanced age
- Very leveraged and effective risk transfer
 - Hard for consumers to embrace due to idea of losing everything if death occurs before income stream starts
- Market isn't deep enough for consistent and competitive pricing
 - Product to watch for the future – hasn't gained traction



Mistake #10

- Not recognizing the illusion of “peace of mind” provided by most long-term care policies



Typical LTC Sales Process

- Consumer motivated to protect against LTC risk
 - Perhaps scared due to statistics, personal horror story, etc.
 - Typically most worried about catastrophic loss
- Initial proposals shown by agent are way too expensive
- Consumer buys a policy but compromises
 - Short benefit period, no inflation, lower monthly amount, etc.



Typical LTC Coverage

- Result is that the vast majority of LTC policies purchased do not protect against catastrophic risk
 - Yet consumers act as if they do
 - Important to understand what you have and don't have



LTC Considerations

- Standalone LTC Policies
 - Provide best risk transfer
 - Consider partnership program in some states
 - Allows for assets to be protected on a dollar-for-dollar basis while still qualifying for Medicaid
 - If \$200,000 in benefits paid, then consumer can qualify for Medicaid at normal threshold + \$200,000
 - Certain policy parameters in order to qualify for partnership program



LTC Alternatives

- Hybrid policies
 - Less risk transfer than standalone LTC policies
 - But address some of common objections to buying LTC
- Life insurance with LTC rider
 - Similar issues as with hybrid policies
- Cash value life insurance
 - Tap into cash while alive or view death benefit as means to reimburse family members for cost of care
 - If care never needed then family gets death benefit
- Self-insuring
 - Possible if you can write \$1 million check and still be OK



Staying in touch

Sign up for newsletter:

www.wittactuarialservices.com

Scott Witt

414.525.8212

scottwitt@wittactuarialservices.com

